

CSR and the role of the state - the case of tax competition

Peter Dietsch

Séries de conférences CRIMT / CREUM

19 février 2009

Structure

Corporate Social Responsibility:

- Standard conceptions and their weaknesses
- Formulation of a *minimalist* conception of CSR

Tax competition as a test case:

- A brief overview of conceptual and normative issues
- Some figures
- Applying a minimalist conception of CSR to taxation
- Some normative lessons and policy recommendations

Possible conceptions of CSR

- 1) **Corporate responsibility beyond the letter of the law**
 - various argumentative strategies are employed to defend this position
 - many of them emphasize the (at least in part) ethical motivations of the corporate decision makers
- 2) **Stakeholder theory**
 - corporate decisions should be made by taking into consideration the perspective of more than just one of the groups that have an interest in the firm
 - the costs of corporate governance increase substantially
- 3) **A market-failure approach**
 - companies should internalise all the externalities that their economic activity generates
 - theoretically neat, but difficult to implement

A puzzle for CSR

- The analogy with 'playing fair' in sports
- The limits of this analogy & the power of lobbying

⇒ Question that arises independently of the approach to CSR:

Can companies be motivated to promote a socially responsible and effective set of rules to govern their industry?

A minimalist conception of CSR

A possible formulation:

Companies have a social obligation to respect the rules of the game laid down by the regulatory framework

In particular:

- 1) Companies have an obligation to pay their taxes
- 2) Companies have an obligation not to undermine the respect of fiscal obligations

Question:

What can we learn from this case study as to the potential of corporate social responsibility on a broader scale?

Tax competition 101

- 1) **Taxation of individual savings**
 - abolition of withholding taxes by the United States in 1984
 - tax havens and tax evasion; 'round-tripping'
 - the weakness of incomplete exchange of information

- 2) **Taxation of multinational companies**
 - production as well as residence tax havens allow companies to minimise their tax bills
 - some of the most current practices: transfer pricing, thin capitalisation
 - Is there a "race to the bottom" of corporate taxes?

The impact on distribution

- 1) **Exacerbates income inequalities**
 - more regressive fiscal systems (race to the bottom concentrated on capital; tax shift towards immobile factors)
 - bank secrecy and the absence of information exchange favour tax avoidance / evasion by the rich
 - tax competition boosts profits which benefits stockholders who tend to be richer

- 2) **A significant pressure on public spending**
 - in developing countries in particular
 - can compromise the ability of governments to provide an adequate amount of public goods as well as social insurances

- 3) **Relocation of jobs**
 - prime example: Ireland

Some figures

- estimate of **yearly annual tax evasion at the individual level**: \$255bn (Tax Justice Network)
- “**Developing countries** are estimated to **lose** to tax havens **almost three times what they get** from developed countries **in aid**.” (Angel Gurría, Secretary-General of the OECD in November 2008)
- “The updated estimate of the overall gross tax gap for Tax Year 2001 - the difference between what taxpayers should have paid and what they actually paid on a timely basis - comes to **\$345 billion**. ... IRS enforcement activities, coupled with other late payments, recover about \$55 billion of the tax gap, leaving a net tax gap of \$290 billion for Tax Year 2001.”
(<http://www.irs.gov/newsroom/article/0,,id=154496,00.html>)
- What **FTSE 100 companies** paid in tax between 2004 and 2007:
<http://www.guardian.co.uk/business/interactive/2009/feb/02/tax-database>
- **60% of world trade** happens within multinational companies these days

CSR and “private wealth management”

The “easy” case:

In contrast to many forms of corporate tax planning, private “tax planning” is in most cases illegal.

- ⇒ Based on secrecy provisions, trusts, etc.
- ⇒ white-collar crime
- ⇒ criminal charges should not be excluded

CSR and “corporate tax planning”

Under the current web of bilateral tax treaties:
companies “shop” for the lowest tax burden

⇒ Compatible with the **letter of the law**, but not with its **spirit**

⇒ Companies caught between respecting the spirit of the law **versus** giving in to the imperatives of competition

⇒ respect of minimalist CSR **possible** but **unlikely**

CSR and the “tax planners”

Who are the tax planners?

⇒ The big four accountancy firms; law firms, banks

How do they promote tax avoidance?

⇒ by selling tax advice

⇒ by creating the legal foundation for the laws, trusts, offshore special purpose vehicles and so forth that make tax avoidance possible

⇒ by promoting bank secrecy

Two lessons:

- 1) lip-service to corporate social responsibility by these companies is hypocritical
- 2) for companies engaged in these activities, respecting even a minimalist CSR seems inconceivable

Some solutions

- 1) **Country by country reporting**
 - multinationals have to provide information on economic activity, financial performance, sales, labour costs, etc. for each country
 - potential: reveal illegal tax avoidance (though transfer mispricing, etc.)
 - advantages: information available internally for most companies already; no international tax treaties necessary

- 2) **'Formulary Apportionment' in corporate taxation:**
 - attribution of the right to tax corporate profits on the basis of a formula including one or more of the following factors - sales, payroll, property in the country in question
 - advantage: some versions circumvent collective action problem
 - potential drawback: distributive impact = ?

Firm *versus* state: questions of governance

Two points:

- 1) The argument of corporate efficiency *versus* state wastefulness is no longer convincing (if it ever was)
 - 2) None of the reform proposals mentioned would eliminate all tax competition
- ⇒ Both corporate and political governance need an effective system of checks and balances to prevent excesses

Conclusions

A sceptic view on CSR:

- 1) In a competitive environment where some degree of tax avoidance is the norm, taking the moral high road of tax compliance may simply not be an available option of many multinationals
 - 2) Even if it is, it seems naïve to expect them to choose it
 - 3) As for companies who sell tax planning services, respecting the minimalist CSR outlined here seems inconceivable
- ⇒ A reminder of the necessity of regulation and the role of the state